

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,626	07/16/2003	Junichi Takeuchi	040405-0363	7292
	7590 05/11/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAM	INER
SUITE 500			FERNANDEZ R	IVAS, OMAR F
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 2129	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/619,626	TAKEUCHI ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Omar F. Fernández Rivas	2129		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja	nuary 2007.			
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 2.4-6,8 and 10-13 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 2.4-6,8 and 10-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	epted or b) objected to by the E			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>i</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
Attachment	t(s)				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>A1, A2</u> .	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,626

Art Unit: 2129

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to an RCE filed on January 22, 2007.
- 2. The Office Actions of November 14, 2005, and May 22, 2006 are incorporated into this Non-Final Office Action by reference.

Status of Claims

3. Claims 2 and 10 have been amended. Claims 1, 3, 7 and 9 have been cancelled. Claims 2, 4-6, 8 and 10-13 are pending on this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. In light of the amendments made on claims 4 and 10, the rejection under 35 USC 112 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 2, 4-6, 8 and 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims do not seem to require any hardware to perform their function. As such, the claims appear to be a system of software per se and are therefore non-statutory. A claim that recites a piece of software alone without any link to a hardware component is directed to non-statutory subject matter since there is no relationship between the computer software and hardware components which permits the functionality of the software to be realized.

Art Unit: 2129

,

The specification does not provide any disclosure to hardware components to support that the invention includes any hardware to produce its functions.

Claim 2 describes an outlier and change point **device** and a first and second learning **device**. However, there is nothing in the claim or in the specification that defines these devices, or any of the other components describes, as being hardware or being linked to hardware. As such, this device can be considered a software program per se and is therefore non-statutory (IEEE definition for device: (software) a mechanism or piece of equipment design to serve a purpose or perform a function). Claims 4-6 further limit claim 2 and are rejected on the same basis.

Claims 8 and 10-13 recite subject matter similar to that of claims 2 and 4-6 and are rejected on the same basis. The Examiner notes that claim 8 describes a method. However, since there is nothing in the specification that describes any hardware for performing the method, the method can be considered to be software per se and is therefore non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The arguments presented by the Applicant regarding the rejection under 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 102(b) is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The arguments presented by the Applicant regarding the rejection under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 103 is withdrawn.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Li et al. "GPS Time Series Modeling by Autoregressive Moving Average Method Application to the Crustal Deformation in Central Japan", The Society of Geomagnetism and Earth, Planetary and Space Sciences, 2000, pages 155-162.

Biliris et al. US Patent #6,055,491

9. Claims 2, 4-6, 8 and 10-13 are rejected.

Correspondence Information

10. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar F. Fernández Rivas, who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. or via telephone at (571) 272-2589 or email omar.fernandezrivas@uspto.gov.

If you need to send an Official facsimile transmission, please send it to (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful the Examiner's Supervisor, David Vincent, may be reached at (571) 272-3080.

Application/Control Number: 10/619,626

Art Unit: 2129

Page 5

Hand-delivered responses should be delivered to the Receptionist @ (Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22313), located on the first floor of the south side of the Randolph Building.

Omar F. Fernández Rivas
Patent Examiner
Artificial Intelligence Art Unit 2129
United States Department of Commerce
Patent & Trademark Office

Thursday, April 05, 2007

OF P

OSEPH P HIPL OSEPH P XAMINER PRIMARY EXAMINER 2100 PRIMARY CENTER 2100